IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE: AT JABALPUR.

...

Cr. Appeal No. 8925 /98

GA 2172/99

Application U/s 378 (4) of the Cr.P.C.

Appellant Complainant : Vikas Kumar Agrawal S/o Bajranglal Agrawal R/o Ward No.14, Manendragarh District Sarguja.

V/s

Respondent Accused : Gurubux Singh S/o late Rabel Singh Proprietor M/s J.S. Industry R/o Ward No.13, Old Gurudwara, Manendragarh District Sarguja.

Appeal U/s 378 (4) of Cr.P.C.

The appellant being aggrieved by the judgment and order dt. 21.8.98, passed in Cr. Appeal No. 27/97, where by allowed the appeal of the respondent and set-aside the conviction vide order dt. 7.2.97, which was passed by the Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Manendragarh in Cr. Case No. 44/96, U/s 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act, begs to prefer this appeal against the acquittal on the following facts and grounds:-

Facts of the case

(24)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

Cr.A. No.2172/2001

मामला क्रमांक Cr. A - 2172/99 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

24-11-2001

Shri Arun Kochar, counsel for the appellant.

Shri S.C. Dutt, Sr. Advocate with Shri Gurudev Sharan, counsel for the respondent.

Heard.

The cause-list shows the name of the counsel who has been elevated.

Shri Arun Kochar shall submit a list of such counsel who have been elevated and who are no more in practice.

Counsel for the respondent submits that the acquittal which has been passed is on the appreciation of evidence and there is a limited scope for interference against the order of acquittal.

Even otherwise counsel for the appellant submits that the parties have also settled their dispute outside the court.

Heard & Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, the appeal ~~is disposed of.~~ no case for interference is made out & as such dismissed.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

JA/Subbu

GRPRI—FS/78—7/2000—50,000.